

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/540,524	TAMEZ-PENA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tiffany A Fetzner	2859	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Amended

 (1) Tiffany A Fetzner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Attorney David Edmundson Reg. No. 35,126.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 January 2005
**Time:** 9:Am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 None

Claims discussed:  
 1, 5, 6, 10, 28, 31, 33, 37

Prior art documents discussed:  
 None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative to request permission to perform an examiner's amendment to correct the title, cancel the withdrawn claims, cancel claims 5, 10, 32, and 37 for improper antecedent basis from a limitation of a withdrawn claim, and for permission to correct claim dependencies. The examiner also requested permission to amend the preambles of the pending independent claims to include the feature that applicant's three-dimensional high-resolution isotropic diagnostic image was formed from two-dimensional image data, to clarify that the claim is distinct from techniques which obtain three-dimensional isotropic images from three-dimensional image data scanning procedures. As well as the presence of the image scanner in the independent method claims. The attorney approved and authorized the examiner's amendment and thanked the examiner for her time..